

## Homogeneous and power sum bases

Recall  $h_\lambda, p_\lambda$ . Want to show they are bases.

Lemma:  $h_\lambda = \sum N_{\lambda\mu} m_\mu$  where  $N_{\lambda\mu}$  is # of integer matrices w/ row sums  $\lambda_i$ , col sums  $\mu_j$ .

Pf: Similar to  $e_\lambda, M_{\lambda\mu}$  pf.  $\square$

Lemma:  $N_{\lambda\mu}$  is always positive if  $|\lambda| = |\mu|$ .

Pf: Can always find a matrix w/ greedy algorithm:  
Fill rows from top to bottom, always choosing lex-greatest row that is compatible w/  $\mu$  cols.

$\square$

Ex:

$$\begin{array}{cccc|c} 4 & 1 & & & 5 \\ & 3 & & & 3 \\ & & 1 & 1 & 2 \\ \hline & & & & 1 \\ \hline 4 & 4 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{array}$$

Since  $N_{\lambda\mu} > 0 \quad \forall \lambda, \mu$ , can't use upper- $\Delta$  method

Instead: compare  $h$ 's to  $e$ 's:

lem:  $e_n = h_1 e_{n-1} - h_2 e_{n-2} + h_3 e_{n-3} - \dots + (-1)^{n-1} h_n$

PF: Optional hwk outlines a generating fn proof of this, but here let's do monomial counting and inclusion-exclusion.

Can rewrite:

$$h_n - h_{n-1} e_1 + h_{n-2} e_2 - \dots + (-1)^n e_n = 0$$

How many times does an arb. monomial  $x_1^{\lambda_1} x_2^{\lambda_2} \dots x_k^{\lambda_k}$  appear? (for  $|\lambda| = n$ )

- Once in  $h_n$
- Once in  $h_{n-1} e_1$ , for each  $x_i$  in the monomial, so contribution of  $-k$ .

- $\binom{k}{2}$  times from  $h_{n-2} e_2$

e.g.  $\left( x_1^{\lambda_1} x_2^{\lambda_2-1} x_3^{\lambda_3} \dots x_{k-1}^{\lambda_{k-1}-1} x_k^{\lambda_k} \right) (x_2 x_k)$

so choose which 2 vars come from an  $e_2$  term.

- Coeff of  $-\binom{k}{3}$  from  $h_{n-3} e_3$

and so on, so coeff is

$$1 - k + \binom{k}{2} - \binom{k}{3} + \dots$$

$$= \binom{k}{0} - \binom{k}{1} + \binom{k}{2} - \binom{k}{3} + \dots = 0.$$

□ ✓



Now, can use this to recursively start from  $e_1 = h_1$ , and write either  $e$ 's in terms of  $h$ 's or vice versa.

$\Rightarrow h_\lambda$ 's a basis too!

---

### Power sums

$$P_\lambda = P_{\lambda_1} \cdots P_{\lambda_k}$$

Not always a basis:

Ex:  $x_1 x_2 = \frac{1}{2}(p_1^2 - p_2)$

$\uparrow$  need rational coeffs!

$R$  has to contain  $\mathbb{Q}$ , not just  $\mathbb{Z}$ .

Thm: If  $\mathbb{Q} \subseteq R$ ,  $\{P_\lambda\}$  basis!

Two proofs:

① let  $P_\lambda = \sum a_{\lambda\mu} M_\mu$

Claim:  $a_{\lambda\mu} = 0$  whenever  $\lambda^* < \mu^*$  in lex order, and  $a_{\lambda\lambda} \neq 0$ .

Pf: If  $\lambda^* < \mu^*$ , let  $i$  be first position

s.t.  $\lambda_i^* < \mu_i^*$ . Then note  $\lambda_i^* = \#$  parts of  $\lambda$  that are  $\geq i$

$\mu_i^* = \#$  parts of  $\mu$  that are  $\geq i$ .

If  $\lambda_i^* < \mu_i^*$  then we only have  $\lambda_i^*$  Pd's in our product w/  $d \geq i$ , and  $\mu_i^*$  exponents  $\geq i$  in our monomial, so we can't form our monomial by multiplying out terms. ✓

Second claim:  $a_{\lambda\lambda} \neq 0$ : can always make

$x_1^{\lambda_1} x_2^{\lambda_2} \dots x_k^{\lambda_k}$  in at least one way by

picking  $x_i^{\lambda_i}$  from  $P_{\lambda_i}$  for all  $i$ .

QED

Thus we have an invertible transition matrix, over  $\mathbb{Q}$  b/c we don't know all  $a_{\lambda\lambda}$ 's = 1.

$$a_{\lambda\mu} \begin{pmatrix} m_3 & m_{21} & m_{111} \\ p_3 & 0 & 0 \\ p_{21} & 1 & 0 \\ p_{111} & 1 & 3 & 6 \end{pmatrix}$$



Second proof:

Newton-Girard Identities

$$P_n - e_1 P_{n-1} + e_2 P_{n-2} - \dots + (-1)^{n-1} P_1 e_{n-1} + n(-1)^n e_n = 0$$

↑  
extra  $n$   
factor on  
last term!

Pf. Consider polynomial

$$p(z) = (z - x_1)(z - x_2) \dots (z - x_n)$$

$$= z^n - e_1 z^{n-1} + e_2 z^{n-2} - \dots + (-1)^n e_n$$

$$0 = p(x_1) = x_1^n - e_1 x_1^{n-1} + e_2 x_1^{n-2} - \dots + (-1)^n e_n$$

$$+ 0 = p(x_2) = x_2^n - e_1 x_2^{n-1} + e_2 x_2^{n-2} - \dots + (-1)^n e_n$$

⋮

$$+ 0 = p(x_n) = x_n^n - e_1 x_n^{n-1} + e_2 x_n^{n-2} - \dots + (-1)^n e_n$$

↓ ↓ ↓

$$0 = P_n - e_1 P_{n-1} + e_2 P_{n-2} - \dots + (-1)^n n \cdot e_n$$

QED